



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/679,544  | 10/06/2000  | George Yen           | BHT/3092/149        | - 8529           |
| 7590 12/30/2004                                   |             | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| Dougherty & Troxell 5205 Leesburg Pike Suite 1404 |             |                      | VO, TIM T           |                  |
| Falls Church, VA 22041                            |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ŕ   |             |                      | 2112                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |   |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 09/679,544  | YEN, GEORGE   |   |  |  |
| navisory notion   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |   |  |  |
|   | Tim T. Vo   | 2112  |   |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover she t with the c                                       | correspondence addi   | ress                                    |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 15 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. |   |   |   |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | PLY [check either a) or b)]   |   |   |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of   |   |   |   |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).   | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI   | f the final rejection.<br>E FINAL REJECTION. S              | ee MPEP                                 |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | sion and the corresponding amount of the                                | fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action: or ( | ension fee under<br>(2) as set forth in |  |  |
| <ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI</li> </ol>   | s Brief must be filed within the p<br>R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal ( | period set forth in of the appeal.                          |   |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be   | ecause:   |   |   |  |  |
| (a)  they raise new issues that would require further   | er consideration and/or search (  | see NOTE below);  |   |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b  | pelow);   |   |   |  |  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  |   |   |   |  |  |
| (d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:  |   |   |   |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection   | tion(s):  |   |   |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   |   | eparate, timely filed                                       | d amendment                             |  |  |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:  | r reconsideration has been cons   | sidered but does NC   | OT place the                            |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | cause it is not directed SOLELY   | to issues which we  | re newly                                |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we   | (s) a)⊠ will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo       | will be entered and or appended.                            | and an                                  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   | .,  |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |   |   |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |   |   |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>12-16,19-20</u> .   |   |   |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |   |   |  |  |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app  |   |   | *                                       |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen  | nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).   |   |   |  |  |
| 10. Other:  |   | sus do  |   |  |  |
|   |   | Tim T. Vo<br>Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 2112             |   |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)